



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/080,623	02/25/2002	Kouichi Yasaki	1466.1055 8101		
21171 75	90 07/27/2005		· EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700			DENNISON, JERRY B		
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2143		
		•	DATE MAILED: 07/27/2009	DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

a ′						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/080,623	YASAKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication ann	J. Bret Dennison	2143				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 February 2005</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the E frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 25 February 2002.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Action is in response to Application Number 10/080,623 received on 25 February 2002.

2. Claims 1-11 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 3. Claims 1, 7, and 8 recite the limitation, or one similar to it, "reception data for the latest predetermined quantity in each communication line". It is unclear to Examiner what this means, (what quantity?). There is also insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation in the claim.
- 4. Claims 1, 7, and 8 recite the limitation, or one similar to it, "receiving a request to switch the line and the address". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation. ("the line" and "the address") in the claim.

Art Unit: 2143

5. Claims 1 and 8 recite the limitation, or one similar to it, "corresponding to data that are already received by the terminal transmitted by the terminal". It is unclear to Examiner what this limitation means.

- 6. Claims 1, 7, and 8 recite the limitation, or one similar to it, "corresponding to data that are already received by the server". It is unclear to Examiner what this data is.
- 7. Claims 1 and 8 recite the limitation, or one similar to it, "corresponding to the communication line before the switching to the communication line after the switching."

 It is unclear to Examiner what this limitation means.
- 8. Claim 7 recites the limitation, or one similar to it, "corresponding to data that are already received by the terminal to the server". It is unclear to Examiner what this data is.
- 9. Claim 2 recites the limitation, "allocates the communication line that has become free by the switching process to the terminal that transmitted the request to switch the line". There is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation in the claim.
- 10. Claims 7 and 8 recite the limitation, or one similar to it, "detecting mating or unmating of a communication cable." It is unclear to Examiner what this limitation

Art Unit: 2143

means. Examiner was not able to find the meaning of this limitation in the specification, other than simply reciting the limitation.

- 11. Claim 7 recites the limitation, "the communication line" on line 28. There is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation in the claim.
- 12. Claims 7 and 8 recite the limitation, "synchronizing the buffer of the terminal with the server side." It is unclear to Examiner what is being synchronized and how this synchronization takes place. It is unclear what "the server side" means. There is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation in the claim.
- 13. Claim 4 recites the limitation, "the application of the fact". There is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation in the claim.
- 14. Claim 5 recites the limitation, "the terminals". There is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation in the claim.
- 15. Claim 5 recites the limitation, "and traffics thereof". It is unclear to Examiner what this limitation means.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2143

16. Claim 5 recites the limitation, "wherein the line selecting portion selects an appropriate communication line". It is unclear to Examiner what is considered "appropriate".

Page 5

- 17. Claim 6 recites the limitation, "issues a line switching instruction to terminals except one that transmitted the request". It is unclear to Examiner what this limitation means.
- 18. Claim 6 recites the limitation, "the line". There is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation in the claim.
- 19. Claim 6 recites the limitation, "an allocates the communication line that has become free by the switching process to the terminal that transmitted the request to switch the line." It is unclear to Examiner what this limitation means. There is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation ("the communication line") in the claim.
- 20. Claim 9 recites the limitation, "comprises a line management portion for memorizing a variation of a communication speed due to switching of a communication line in the past". It is unclear to Examiner what this limitation means.
- 21. Claim 10 recites the limitation, "while a second communication line except the first communication". It is unclear to Examiner what this limitation means.

Art Unit: 2143

22. Claim 11 recites the limitations, "the communication line". There is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation in the claim.

Examiner Remarks

23. Before a detailed mapping, Examiner would like to point out that the multiple 112 issues, as shown above, cause ambiguities which make it difficult to provide a detailed search and consideration of the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bouke (European Patent Application EP 0 987 866) in view of obviousness.

24. Regarding claims 1, 7, and 8, Bouke disclosed a server of a local area network in which the server is connected to a terminal via a communication line selected from plural communication lines, the server comprising:

a buffer for cumulating transmission or reception data for the latest predetermined quantity in each communication line; and

Art Unit: 2143

a switch processing portion for performing a switching process of the plural communication lines, including

a switch request receiving portion for receiving a request to switch the line and the address in the buffer corresponding to data that are already received by the terminal transmitted by the terminal.

a line selecting portion for selecting an appropriate communication line in response to the request to switch the line, and

a switch instruction transmitting portion for transmitting an instruction of switching to the selected communication line and the address in the buffer corresponding to data that are already received by the server (Bouke, paragraphs 1, 2, 5, 8-11, 14, 19, 25, Bouke disclosed a client and server establishing a data connection with a special requirement for the transmission of a special data element, by means of a second address defined by the client, where buffers are provided in the data network. Bouke also disclosed that specially designed data networks, telephone networks and radio or satellite links can be used alongside each other).

Bouke does not explicitly state having a data destination switching portion for transferring packet data received for the terminal corresponding to the communication line before the switching to the communication line after the switching.

However, it would have been obvious for one in the ordinary skill in the art to switch communication lines to transmit data in the teachings of Bouke because Bouke has the capability to create new data connections between client and server for

Art Unit: 2143

transmitting data elements that have special requirements, and also because Bouke teaches different forms of communication working alongside each other (Bouke, pg 6, paragraph 10). Therefore it would have been obvious to switch communication lines in Bouke in order to handle client requests for data elements having special requirements for transmission.

Claims 7 and 8 include the same limitations as claim 1, and further include the client end of communication with the same limitations on the handling end. It would have been obvious to one in the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the client end of the system, setting up the data communication line with the special requirements in order for client and server to be able to communication and transmit the data element having special requirements.

25. Regarding claims 2, 3 and 9, Bouke disclosed the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claims 1 and 8. Bouke does not explicitly state a line performance measuring portion for measuring performance of each of the plural communication lines including a communication speed, wherein the line selecting portion selects an appropriate communication line in accordance with measurement result of the line performance measuring portion.

However, Bouke did disclose selecting communication lines based on special requirements, including bandwidth (Bouke, page 2, paragraph 2). See motivation above.

Art Unit: 2143

26.

Regarding claim 5, Bouke disclosed the limitations, substantially as claimed, as

Page 9

described in claim 1, including a line management portion for detecting communication

lines that each of the terminals uses for connecting to the server and traffics thereof,

wherein the line selecting portion selects an appropriate communication line in

accordance with detection result of the line management portion (Bouke, page 2,

paragraphs 3 and 9). See motivation above.

27. Regarding claims 6 and 10, Bouke disclosed the limitations, substantially as

claimed, as described in claim 5. Bouke also disclosed creating a new data

communication line between client and server to handle special requirements (Bouke,

page 2, paragraphs 3 and 9). See motivation above.

Bouke does not explicitly state wherein the switch processing portion issues a

line switching instruction to terminals except one that transmitted the request to switch

the line in accordance with the detection result of the line management portion so as to

perform a switching process of the communication line, and allocates the

communication line that has become free by the switching process to the terminal that

transmitted the request to switch the line.

However, because the teachings of Bouke disclosed the server creating a new

data communication line for the client that transmitted the request, it would have been

obvious to one in the ordinary skill in the art that creating this new communication line

and using it requires switching to the line. See motivation above.

Art Unit: 2143

28. Regarding claim 11, Bouke disclosed the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 8, including wherein the request to switch the line transmitted from the terminal includes a candidate of the communication line to be used after the switching, and the line selecting portion in the switch processing portion of the server selects the communication line included in the request to switch the line from the terminal as an appropriate communication line (Bouke, page 2, paragraphs 3 and 9, Bouke disclosed that the data connection is based on the request). See motivation above.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bouke in view of Varma et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,275,497).

29. Regarding claim 4, Bouke disclosed the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 1. Bouke does not explicitly state further comprising a terminal operation state monitoring portion for monitoring an operation state of the terminal, wherein the terminal operation state monitoring portion transmits a predetermined instruction to the terminal and If there is no response from the terminal in a predetermined period, the terminal operation state monitoring portion informs the application of the fact.

In an analogous art, Varma disclosed a controller that changes the operating protocol of multiple upstream channels according to user status (Varma, see Abstract) via polling (Varma, col. 2, lines 30-55).

Page 11

Art Unit: 2143

Therefore it would have been obvious to one in the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incoporporate the teachings of Varma into Bouke for the benefit of establishing high bandwidth channels for the transmission of data to improve performance (Varma, col. 1, lines 20-30).

Conclusion

Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

In the case of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure relied on for proper interpretation and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Bret Dennison whose telephone number is (571) 272-3910. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5pm.

Art Unit: 2143

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. B. D.

Patent Examiner Art Unit 2143

> WILLIAM C. VAUGHN, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER